

## BUDGET FOR 1960-61—DEMANDS FOR GRANTS "ON ACCOUNT"

### No. 1—Taxes on Income other than Corporation Tax.

Sri T. MARIAPPA (Minister for Finance).—Mr. Speaker, Sir, on the recommendation of the Governor of Mysore, I beg to move:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 70,000 be granted 'on account' to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of 'Taxes on Income other than Corporation Tax'."

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 70,000 be granted 'on account' to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of 'Taxes on Income other than Corporation Tax'."

I have to inform the Members that all the Demands are before them. When they discuss, they will discuss all the Demands together.

Another point is that the debate on the motion would be the same as the debate on the Budget itself. So in order to avoid as far as possible the debate overlapping each other, I will try to see that those members who take part now may not get a chance when the Budget is discussed.

Sri V. S. PATIL (Belgaum).—On a point of order, Sir. The present motion has been moved by the Hon'ble Finance Minister for Vote "On account". In the first instance, I would like to know whether the Rules of Procedure have been suspended so far as.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—I gave a reply yesterday. Unfortunately, the Hon'ble Member was not present.

†Sri V. S. PATIL.—Sir, so far as my point of order is concerned, under

rule 170, when a motion is moved for "vote on account," notice has to be given to the Secretary under Rule 147. The printed list of demands for grants is not in order as it contravenes the provisions of rule 170 read with rule 147. There must be one motion moved for "vote on account" with the schedule according to that rule. But here, what we have been given is this. The vote on account is merely a schedule and there is no motion at all as required by this particular rule.

Then, there is another point. Under article 206 (1), sub-clause (a) of the Constitution, if the amount authorised is expended or insufficient, "vote on account" can be demanded. If we are not able to pass the Budget by the first day of April, it is in order to ask for amounts under "vote on account" for April, May and June. So long as the Budget is not passed for that part of the year, the demand can be made. Therefore, I submit that this demand for vote on account for four months is not in order and it ought to relate to only for a month so far as the Budget and Appropriation Bill are concerned. I should like to have a ruling on this. I should like to know from the Government as to why the "vote on account" has been brought. That is another point, because the Government was in a position to call the meeting of this Assembly earlier than 2nd March. They ought to have called a meeting in the middle of February and got the Budget passed in a regular way. I should like to know from the Government why they have caused this inordinate delay in calling the Assembly and now trying to get the "vote on account" as a short cut to pass the Budget. Is it in order to avoid trouble that may crop up in the ruling party during this session? That is why it appears that they want to have a short cut to get the Budget passed. This is not the way in which we are expected to do our business in a democracy. I submit that Government has to explain as to why they did not call the meeting earlier, and at least why the Budget was not introduced earlier, immediately after the session was called and why it was delayed up to the 8th March. All

these points are required to be clarified by the Government before we discuss the “vote on account.”

†Sri V. SRINIVASA SHETTY (Coondapur).—Though under the Constitution the Government are entitled to ask for a ‘vote on account’ on the parallel of the British Parliament, there is a vast difference between the British system prevailing in the British Parliament and the system prevailing in India.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—In 1956 we had “vote on account” in this very House and Madras is also following this procedure.

Sri V. SRINIVASA SHETTY.—Why do you take the example of Madras in this regard and why don’t you take the other examples of Madras? Now, Sir, the question of “vote on account” comes in when the Assembly is not in a position to pass the budget before the 31st of March due to certain difficulties. In that case, the Government will naturally have to come before the House and ask for a vote on account. In England the discussion of the budget takes place after the 31st March and hence they are bound to ask for a vote on account. They pass their budget in June or July. But we are bound to pass the budget before the 31st March. Therefore, there is absolutely no reason why the Government should come before this House year after year and ask for a ‘vote on account.’ It has become a very bad practice here.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Is this House in a position to pass the budget and the Appropriation Bill before the 31st March?

Sri V. SRINIVASA SHETTY.—This is one of the lame reasons given by the Hon’ble Finance Minister. Every now and then they come forward with an ordinance or with an Appropriation Bill or with a “vote on account.” Is it not the duty of the Government to call the Assembly in time to pass the budget? Who asked the Government and the Cabinet to call this Assembly at this late hour? Nobody in this

Hon’ble House prevented the Government from calling this Assembly in the beginning or in the middle of February in order to pass the budget in time.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Even supposing we had convened the Assembly in the beginning of February, I could have asked for a vote on account and allowed the House to discuss it leisurely. I did not want to hustle through.

Sri V. SRINIVASA SHETTY.—I concede that the Hon’ble Finance Minister could have asked for a “vote on account” legally because there is the constitutional provision. Why should they come before this House every time for a “vote on account” and why should they call the Assembly at the fag end and then say that they have no time to pass the budget? I fully agree with the arguments of my Hon’ble friend Sri V. S. Patil that the Government have put themselves out of court by calling the Assembly at this very late hour and by coming before the House with supplementary demands and vote on account at this very late stage.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Sir, there is no need for an explanation at all. In fact, even if the House had been convened in the beginning or middle of February, we could have come before the House and asked for a vote on account so that the House might discuss the budget leisurely. We did not want to hustle through. This is not the first time I hear such arguments. I have gone through the proceedings of the Madras Legislature and the Lok Sabha and everywhere ‘vote on account’ is an accepted factor. In fact, the House will congratulate the Government for asking for a ‘vote on account’ and thereby giving ample time for it to discuss the demands leisurely. Therefore, it evidently means that our friends do not want to apply their minds to what is happening around them and want to argue on untenable grounds.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM (K.G.F.).—How can the Hon’ble Finance Minister say that? The other day when we pointed out the example of Madras,

(SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM)

they said, "Why do you follow other States?" So, we want to know why the Government follows other States in this matter. It only means that they will follow other States when it suits them and not otherwise.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—Does the Finance Minister want to follow the procedure in other States or the Constitution itself? When the constitutional provision is quite clear, where is the sense in following the procedure followed by other States? It is illegal.

**Sri T. MARIAPPA.**—I have pointed out instances where 'vote on account' is asked for and granted in other States. It is not peculiar to Mysore alone.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—The first point raised was why the Government have come before the House for a 'vote on account'. Hon'ble Members will remember that this is not the first time that such a procedure has been adopted here. Secondly, the Hon'ble Members are also aware that this is a common procedure adopted in the House of Commons. I myself have seen the procedure in the House of Commons and they ask for a 'vote on account' first and then discuss the budget. This is also the practice in the Lok Sabha. The practice is not wrong or is not a new one also. Of course, they had the option to come before the House with the budget itself but the Government have chosen to come before the House for a 'vote on account'. They are in no way to be blamed for this. The choice was either to take the first course or the second course and they have taken the second course. If the Hon'ble Members think that this is a sort of circuitous method, that is an erroneous impression.

Secondly, the Hon'ble Member Sri V. S. Patil said that the 'vote on account' gave only certain items and that it did not conform to the Rules of Procedure. I have looked into clause (1) of rule 170 of the Rules of Procedure and I am at a loss to know how he can draw such a conclusion. It reads:

"A motion for vote on account shall state the total sum required,

and the various amounts needed for each Department or item of expenditure which compose that sum shall be stated in a schedule appended to the motion."

So, this is the schedule that is given. If he means to say that there is no motion made, he has not looked into the pigeon hole. The printed list is also circulated to the members. I got it yesterday.

Had he seen that, he would not have raised this objection.

Coming to the procedure, I have not suspended any rule at all. I will read rule 171:

"Supplementary, additional, excess and exceptional grants and votes of credit shall be regulated by the same procedure as is applicable in the case of demands for grants subject to such adaptations, whether by way of modification, addition or omission as the Speaker may deem to be necessary or expedient."

This is the procedure that has been followed. There has been some modification in the procedure followed in the case of Budget itself. But this modification has been found necessary and I have been following this modified procedure. So, Hon'ble Members will see that I have not suspended any rule at all. I have taken action under rule 171 and in fact rule 322 does not apply.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—Sir, you were pleased to say yesterday that a special resolution was going to be moved. We have not been supplied with any copy of it.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—The Hon'ble Member will please look into the pigeon hole. If he still does not find it there, he may get it from the office.

[Sri N. G. Narasimhe Gowda rose.]

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಈಗ ಈ ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಯಾರೂ ಮಾತನಾಡುತ್ತಾರೋ, ಅವರಿಗೆ ಜನರರ ಡಿಸ್‌ಕಪ್ಪ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಮತ್ತೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಸಿಕ್ಕುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

**Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA** (Nanjangud).—I want to know on what basis the Speaker has come to this conclusion. Is it with a view to give chance to as many members as possible during the time of budget discussion?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—In the first place the discussion on this will cover the same ground.

**Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.**—My suggestion then is to put the motion to the vote of the House.

ತಿಂಗಳುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದರೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಸರಿ ಯಾಗಿ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಾ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಮಾತನಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಅವಕಾಶಕೊಡಿ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಮಾತನಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ full time ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಬಜೆಟ್ ವಿಷಯ ದಲ್ಲಿ ಆ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾತನಾಡುವ ವಿಚಾರಗಳು ಬೇಕಾದಷ್ಟು ಇರುತ್ತವೆ. ಓದುವುದು ಬಹಳವಿದೆ. ನಿಮ್ಮ ರೂಲಿಂಗನ್ನು ಡಿಲೇಟ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಆಗ್ಲೂ ಚಾನ್ಸ್ ಕೊಡಿ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಎರಡು ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿಮಾಂಡು ಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾತನಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಈಗ ಮಾತನಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇಡವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಮಾತನಾಡಿ. ಈಗ ಮಾತನಾಡುವುದೂ ಮುಂದೆ ಮಾತನಾಡುವುದೂ ಒಂದೇ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಕಾರಣ ದಿಂದ, ಈಗ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರಿಗೆ, ಅನಂತರ ಬಜೆಟ್ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಮಾತನಾಡುವುದಿದ್ದರೆ ಇವೊತ್ತು ಸಂಜೆಯವರೆಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣ್ಣ ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.—ನೀವು ಹೀಗೆ ಬಾಯಿಬಿಟ್ಟು ಹೇಳಿಬಿಟ್ಟರೆ ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ಹಿಂಜರಿಯು ತಾರೆ. ಆಗ್ಲೂ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಿ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಬಜೆಟ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಚರ್ಚೆಯೇ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿದು ಕೊಂಡು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ.

The question is :

“That the respective sums not exceeding the amounts shown in the List of Demands circulated to the members be granted to Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to the end of 31st July 1960 in respect of demands Nos. 1 to 8, 10, 13, to 29, 32 to 38, 40, 42, 44 to 58.”

*The motion was adopted.*

[As directed by the Chair, the Motions for Demands for Grants which were adopted by the House, are reproduced below.]

#### DEMAND NO. 1—TAXES ON INCOME OTHER THAN CORPORATION TAX.

##### 4. *Taxes on Income other than Corporation Tax.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 70,000 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Taxes on Income other than Corporation Tax’.”

#### DEMAND NO. 2—LAND REVENUE

##### 7. *Land Revenue.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,29,01,000 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Land Revenue’.”

#### DEMAND NO. 3—STATE EXCISE

##### 8. *State Excise Duties.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 8,10,000 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘State Excise’.”

#### DEMAND NO. 4—STAMPS.

##### 9. *Stamps.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,10,000 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Stamps’.”

## DEMAND No. 5—FOREST.

10. *Forest.*

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 86,00,600 be granted "on account" to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of 'Forest'."

## DEMAND No. 6—REGISTRATION

11. *Registration.*

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 4,73,000 be granted "on account" to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of 'Registration'."

DEMAND No. 7—MOTOR VEHICLES  
ACTS—ADMINISTRATION.12. *Taxes on Vehicles.*

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 4,28,000 be granted "on account" to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of "Taxes on Vehicles'."

DEMAND No. 7A—SALES-TAX  
ADMINISTRATION.12.-A *Sales Tax.*

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 8,99,000 be granted "on account" to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of 'Sales Tax'."

DEMAND No. 8—OTHER  
TAXES ADMINISTRATION.13. *Other Taxes and Duties.*

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 35,89,000 be granted "on

account" to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of 'Other Taxes and Duties'."

## DEMAND No. 10—IRRIGATION.

18. *Other Revenue Expenditure  
financed from Ordinary Revenues.*

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 39,26,000 be granted "on account" to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960 in respect of 'Other Revenue Expenditure financed from Ordinary Revenues'."

DEMAND No. 13—GENERAL ADMINIS-  
TRATION.25. *General Administration (Except  
the sub-major heads B and C).*

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 83,17,200 be granted "on account" to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960 in respect of 'General Administration' (Except the sub-major heads B and C)'"

DEMAND No. 14—GENERAL ADMINIS-  
TRATION (STATE LEGISLATURE AND  
ELECTION CHARGES).25. *General Administration (B. Parlia-  
ment and the State Legislature and  
C. Elections).*

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 10,04,400 be granted "on account" to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of 'General Administration (State Legislature and Election Charges)'."

DEMAND No. 15—ADMINISTRATION  
OF JUSTICE.27. *Administration of Justice.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 25,00,300 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Administration of Justice’.”

## DEMAND No. 16—JAILS.

28. *Jails.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 14,01,000 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Jails.’”

## DEMAND No. 17—POLICE.

29. *Police.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,12,02,000 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Police’.”

DEMAND No. 18—PORTS AND  
PILOTAGE.30. *Ports and Pilotage.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 3,12,000 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Ports and Pilotage’.”

DEMAND No. 19—SCIENTIFIC  
DEPARTMENTS.36. *Scientific Departments.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 2,93,000 be granted “on

account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Scientific Departments’.”

## DEMAND No. 20—EDUCATION.

37. *Education.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 4,40,58,200 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Education’.”

## DEMAND No. 21—MEDICAL.

38. *Medical.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,03,52,000 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Medical’.”

## DEMAND No. 22—PUBLIC HEALTH.

39. *Public Health.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 81,64,000 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Public Health’.”

DEMAND No. 23—AGRICULTURE,  
HORTICULTURE AND FISHERIES.40. *Agriculture.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 79,51,000 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Agriculture’.”



**DEMAND No. 24—RURAL DEVELOPMENT.**

*40-A. Rural Development.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 55,28,000 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Rural Development’.”

**DEMAND No. 25—ANIMAL HUSBANDRY.**

*41. Animal Husbandry.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 34,11,300 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Animal Husbandry’.”

**DEMAND No. 26—CO-OPERATION.**

*42. Co-operation.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 30,85,300 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Co-operation’.”

**DEMAND No. 27.—INDUSTRIES INCLUDING SERICULTURE AND CAPITAL OUTLAY ON INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT.**

*43. Industries and Supplies and 43-A. Capital Outlay on Industrial Development*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 5,98,07,700 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Industries and Supplies and Capital Outlay on Industrial Development’.”

**DEMAND No. 28.—MISCELLANEOUS DEPARTMENTS.**

*47. Miscellaneous Departments.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 26,87,700 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Miscellaneous Departments’.”

**DEMAND No. 29.—CIVIL WORKS.**

*50. Civil Works.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 3,55,59,000 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Civil Works’.”

**DEMAND No. 32—FAMINE.**

*54. Famine.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 20,82,300 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Famine’.”

**DEMAND No. 33—TERRITORIAL AND POLITICAL PENSIONS.**

*54-A. Territorial and Political Pensions.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,56,300 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Territorial and Political Pensions’.”

## DEMAND NO. 34—PRIVY PURSES AND ALLOWANCES.

54-B. *Privy Purses and Allowances of Indian Rulers.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 16,300 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Privy Purses and Allowances of Indian Rulers’.”

## DEMAND NO. 35—SUPERANNUATION ALLOWANCES AND PENSIONS.

55. *Superannuation Allowances and Pensions.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 66,25,000 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Superannuation Allowances and Pensions’.”

## DEMAND NO. 36—COMMUTATION OF PENSIONS.

55-A. *Commutation of Pensions financed from Ordinary Revenues.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 2,37,700 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Commutation of Pensions financed from Ordinary Revenues’.”

## DEMAND NO. 37—STATIONERY AND PRINTING.

56. *Stationery and Printing.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 21,48,000 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in

respect of ‘Stationery and Printing’.”

## DEMAND NO. 38—MISCELLANEOUS.

57. *Miscellaneous.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 57,15,900 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960 in respect of ‘Miscellaneous’.”

## DEMAND NO. 40—COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, ETC.

63-B. *Community Development Projects, National Extension Service and Local Development Works.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 99,69,000 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Community Development Projects, National Extension Service and Local Development Works’.”

## DEMAND NO. 42—WORKING EXPENSES —IRRIGATION WORKS—COMMERCIAL.

XVII. *I.N.E. & D. Works (Commercial —Working Expenses.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 31,07,700 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960 in respect of ‘I.N.E. & D. Works (Commercial)—Working Expenses’.”

## DEMAND NO. 44—ROAD AND WATER TRANSPORT SCHEMES.

XLVI-A. *Receipts from Road and Water Transport Schemes—Working Expenses.*

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,97,00,700 be granted “on



account" to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of 'Receipts from Road and Water Transport Schemes - Working Expenses'."

DEMAND No. 45—COMPENSATION TO  
ZAMINDARIS.

65. *Payment of Compensation to landholders, etc., on the abolition of Zamindari System.*

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 19,04,000 be granted "on account" to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of 'Payment of Compensation to landholders, etc. on the abolition of Zamindari System'."

DEMAND No. 46—CAPITAL OUTLAY ON  
IRRIGATION (COMMERCIAL.)

68. *Construction of Irrigation, Navigation, Embankment and Drainage Works (Commercial).*

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 3,38,13,300 be granted "on account" to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of 'Construction of Irrigation, Navigation, Embankment and Drainage Works (Commercial)'."

DEMAND No. 47—IRRIGATION WORKS  
(NON-COMMERCIAL).

63-A. *Construction of I.N.E. and D. Works (Non-Commercial).*

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 28,81,700 be granted "on account" to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the

period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960 in respect of 'Construction of I.N.E. and D. Works—Non-Commercial'."

DEMAND No. 48—CAPITAL OUTLAY ON  
IMPROVEMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH.

70. *Capital Outlay on Improvement of Public Health.*

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 2,33,300 be granted "on account" to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of 'Capital Outlay on Improvement of Public Health'."

DEMAND No. 49—INDUSTRIAL  
DEVELOPMENT

72. *Capital Outlay on Industrial Development.*

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 76,47,700 be granted "on account" to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of 'Capital Outlay on Industrial Development'."

DEMAND No. 50—APPROPRIATION TO  
IRRIGATION AND POWER DEVELOPMENT FUND.

80-B. *Appropriation to Irrigation and Power Development Fund.*

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 9,66,600 be granted "on account" to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of 'Appropriation to Irrigation and Power Development Fund'."

DEMAND No. 51—CAPITAL OUTLAY ON  
CIVIL WORKS.

81. *Capital Account of Civil Works Outside the Revenue Account.*

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,53,86,700 be granted "on account"

to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960 in respect of ‘Capital Account of Civil Works outside the Revenue Account’.”

**DEMAND No. 52.—CAPITAL ACCOUNT OF ELECTRICITY SCHEMES OUTSIDE THE REVENUE ACCOUNT.**

**81-A. Capital Outlay on Electricity Schemes.**

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 4,19,34,400 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Capital Outlay on Electricity Schemes’.”

**DEMAND No. 53.—CAPITAL ACCOUNT OF OTHER WORKS OUTSIDE THE REVENUE ACCOUNT.**

**82. Capital Account of other Works outside the Revenue Account.**

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 4,00,000 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Capital Account of other State Works outside the Revenue Account’.”

**DEMAND No. 54.—CAPITAL OUTLAY ON ROAD AND WATER TRANSPORT SCHEMES.**

**82-B. Capital Outlay on Road and Water Transport Schemes outside the Revenue Account.**

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 37,66,700 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Capital Outlay on Road and Water Transport Schemes’.”

**DEMAND No. 55.—COMMUTED VALUE OF PENSIONS.**

**83. Payments of Commuted Value of Pensions.**

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 2,41,000 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Commutation of Pension’.”

**DEMAND No. 56.—PAYMENTS TO RETRENCHED PERSONNEL.**

**85. Payments to Retrenched Personnel.**

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 700 be granted ‘on account’ to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Payments to Retrenched Personnel’.”

**DEMAND No. 57.—CAPITAL OUTLAY ON SCHEMES OF GOVERNMENT TRADING.**

**85-A. Capital Outlay on Schemes of Government Trading.**

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 60,91,300 be granted ‘on account’ to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Capital Outlay on Schemes of Government Trading’.”

**DEMAND No. 58.—ADVANCES AND LOANS.**

**P. Loans and Advances by State Government.**

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 2,73,92,300 be granted “on account” to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1960 to end of 31st day of July 1960, in respect of ‘Loans and Advances by the State Government’.”

## MYSORE APPROPRIATION BILL, 1960.

### Introduction.

**Sri T. MARIAPPA.**—Sir, I beg to introduce :

The Mysore Appropriation Bill,  
1960.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—The Mysore Appropriation Bill, 1960 is introduced.

### *Motion to consider.*

**Sri T. MARIAPPA.**—Sir, I beg to move :

“That the Mysore Appropriation Bill, 1960, be taken into consideration.”

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Motion moved :

“That the Mysore Appropriation Bill, 1960, be taken into consideration.”

†**Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.**—Yesterday the Hon'ble Minister for Finance did not choose to reply to one of my points. We expressed a doubt whether the Government of Mysore had not exceeded the amount out of the Contingency Fund and we wanted a clarification on that particular point. It was one of the major points which the Minister for Finance forgot to refer to or evaded the issue. It is the third time that I have raised it. I want to know why that information is not made available. There is a fear or suspicion in the minds of members on the opposition side that the Government have exceeded the fund. It is now open to the Finance Minister to clarify the issue.

**Sri T. MARIAPPA.**—I am really sorry, I missed the point yesterday. Sir, I can assure the Hon'ble House and the Hon'ble Member in particular that in no case do we exceed the amount in the Contingency Fund. There is no reason to exceed that amount.

**Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.**—Why do they not give a statement of the amounts charged to the Contingency

Fund during the year up to the date of the Budget Session, up to the date they introduce the supplementary grants? Why should they not give a statement for the information of the Hon'ble Members?

**Sri T. MARIAPPA.**—If that is needed I have no objection to place it before the House, Sir. In fact, next time, I will do it. We always keep to the limit sanctioned by the House. As soon as the vote is taken, it is recouped to the Contingency Fund.

**Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.**—To enable the Members to check up for themselves, why should you not furnish a statement? At what figure it stands on the particular date of the Budget Session, may be given.

**Sri T. MARIAPPA.**—I have no objection.

**Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.**—The reply of the Hon'ble Minister is rather vague, Sir. It does not satisfy the House. He must be in a position to say precisely the balance at a particular time in the Consolidated Fund.

**Sri T. MARIAPPA.**—Under each item, we have said : “this is charged to the Contingency Fund and it will be recouped”. You can therefore find out—if 11 lakhs have been spent from the Contingency Fund, 11 lakhs will be recouped. Therefore, it stands always at the figure sanctioned by this House.

**Sri U. M. MADAPPA.**—It is stated in some responsible quarters that it is not possible to find out what exactly is the balance. Some say that even the A.G. has passed that remark in the accounts for the last year. I will show it to you tomorrow.

**Sri T. MARIAPPA.**—No, in fact, it is the A.G. who has to furnish figures to us. I will place the statement next time, Sir. It will satisfy the House.

**Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.**—Yesterday you took the sanction of the Legislature under Additional Grants. What was the position at that time when you came before the House? That is the figure that we want.